ProCleanLakes

BUSINESS COACHING REPORT
COLD-CLIMATE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY LEASING

1. Coaching context

The proposed business model replaces a one-time equipment sale with access to modular lake-restoration technologies through seasonal or multi-year contracts. The offer combines floating treatment wetlands, aeration or circulation systems, filtration units and autonomous monitoring sensors with installation, maintenance, winterisation, component replacement and environmental performance reporting. This approach responds to a credible purchasing barrier: many potential customers need restoration capacity but does not wish to fund specialised equipment upfront or build the internal capability required to operate and maintain it.

The recommended commercial direction is not a generic equipment-leasing catalogue. It is a bundled technology as a service offer in which the customer purchases a defined restoration function, reliable operation and decision-ready reporting. The first offer should combine a monitoring module with one intervention module selected after site assessment. This narrows technical risk, makes outcomes easier to interpret and avoids deploying technology before the ecological problem has been properly diagnosed.

2. Priority customer segments

The first commercial effort should focus on organisations that have recurring responsibility for water bodies, can enter service contracts and face a visible operational or environmental problem. Municipalities and lake or basin authorities are the strongest initial customers for a pilot. Environmental engineering and restoration contractors should be developed in parallel as channel partners because they already hold customer relationships, permits expertise and field-delivery capacity.

Segment	Main purchasing need	Recommended offer	Priority
Municipalities and lake authorities	Predictable restoration cost, reduced upfront investment and documented outcomes	Paid pilot followed by a multi-year bundled service	Very high
Water utilities and managed-water operators	Operational reliability, water-quality risk reduction and service accountability	Multi-year service with maintenance, monitoring and response commitments	High
Environmental engineering and restoration contractors	Flexible access to specialised equipment without owning every technology	Seasonal lease, project rental or partner-delivered package	High

Segment	Main purchasing need	Recommended offer	Priority
Protected-area administrations and environmental NGOs	Fundable demonstrations, ecological safeguards and transparent reporting	Fixed-scope pilot linked to a funded project	Medium-high
Tourism and recreational lake operators	Visible water-quality improvement and dependable seasonal operation	Seasonal service for a clearly defined operational problem	Medium

Source: developed by the business coach

3. Offer adaptation by customer type

The underlying technology is similar across projects, but the commercial message should change with the buyer. Public authorities need transparent scope, procurement compatibility, environmental evidence and predictable lifecycle cost. Utilities and managed-water operators need uptime, maintenance responsibilities and escalation procedures. Contractors need rapid mobilisation, flexible rental periods and technical support. NGOs and funded projects need a defined demonstration package with credible baseline and final reporting.

The offer should therefore be modular but not open-ended. Each proposal should define the environmental problem, the selected technology, deployment area, operating season, monitoring plan, maintenance schedule, winterisation responsibilities, exclusions and reporting outputs. Floating wetlands, aeration and filtration should never be presented as interchangeable solutions. Technology selection must follow site diagnosis and expert review, because lake response depends on hydrology, nutrient sources, depth, temperature, ice conditions and ecological sensitivity.

4. Assessment of contract models

Contract model	Best use	Main advantage	Main limitation	Coaching assessment
Seasonal leasing	Short operating season or first demonstration	Low commitment and clear start and end dates	Mobilisation and winterisation costs recur; limited time to prove ecological change	Useful entry and pilot model
Multi-year contract	Recurring need and technology requiring continuity	Supports cost recovery, learning and year-to-year comparison	Longer procurement and greater performance responsibility	Recommended core model after pilot

Contract model	Best use	Main advantage	Main limitation	Coaching assessment
Pay per performance	Mature solution with controllable and agreed indicators	Strong alignment with customer value	Lake outcomes depend on weather and external pollution sources	Use only for a limited fee component
Bundled service package	Customers lacking technical operating capacity	Combines access, maintenance, monitoring and reporting	Requires reliable field operations and partner coordination	Recommended commercial format

Source: developed by the business coach

5. Recommended commercial architecture

The strongest initial architecture is a paid seasonal pilot with a defined conversion path to a multi-year bundled service. The pilot should include baseline assessment, site-specific technology selection, installation, routine maintenance, sensor-based monitoring, winterisation or removal, and a final performance report. The customer should see one accountable service package rather than separate invoices for equipment, maintenance and data.

After the pilot, a multi-year agreement can provide installation and recommissioning, scheduled preventive maintenance, replacement of normal-wear components, monitoring access and annual reporting. Exceptional damage, changes in site conditions, permits, grid or civil works and laboratory analysis beyond the agreed allowance should be clearly allocated or priced separately. This makes the offer understandable while protecting the provider from risks it cannot control.

6. Testing willingness to pay

Willingness to pay should be tested through real purchasing decisions rather than general statements of interest. Begin with approximately 12 to 15 structured interviews across the priority segments. Ask how the organisation currently addresses the problem, what it costs, which budget owns the decision, when procurement occurs and what evidence would be required to approve a pilot. The discussion should then move to three concrete packages rather than an abstract question about price.

Test offer	Customer commitment tested	Evidence collected
Seasonal pilot	Fixed fee for one site, one intervention module and monitoring	Budget availability, approval process and signed pilot order
Multi-year bundled service	Annual fee covering deployment, maintenance, winterisation and reporting	Preferred contract length, renewal conditions and acceptable risk allocation

Test offer	Customer commitment tested	Evidence collected
Performance-linked option	Base service fee plus a limited variable component	Indicators accepted by the buyer and share of fee they will place at risk

Source: developed by the business coach

The evidence ladder should be interpreted carefully. Positive feedback is weak evidence, access to site data or a technical meeting is stronger, a letter of intent with an indicative budget is stronger still, and a paid pilot is the most credible early validation. Prices should be tested using calculated cost floors and alternative package scopes, not arbitrary discounts.

7. Pilot design and commercial metrics

The first pilot should cover one managed lake or a clearly defined lake zone and one priority problem. A monitoring module should establish baseline conditions and document operational performance. Only one principal intervention technology should be deployed. The pilot period should be long enough to include installation, active operation and winterisation, while recognising that one season demonstrate technical and commercial feasibility without proving long-term ecological restoration.

Dimension	Pilot measures
Commercial	Acquisition cost, sales cycle, approved budget, willingness to renew and preferred contract structure
Operational	Mobilisation time, uptime, maintenance hours, energy and consumables, transport, storage and winterisation cost
Environmental	Site-specific baseline and agreed indicators reviewed by an environmental specialist
Customer value	Use of reports, reduced internal workload, avoided capital expenditure and confidence in future decisions

Source: developed by the business coach

8. Cold climate risk allocation

Cold-climate delivery creates material risks that must be reflected in both technology selection and contract wording. These include ice loading, freeze-thaw cycles, access limitations, short installation windows, corrosion, power continuity, remote maintenance, transport and off-season storage. Manufacturer warranties must be checked against the intended winter conditions. The provider should not promise environmental outcomes that are strongly influenced by weather, catchment pollution or third-party actions.

Performance commitments should therefore begin with factors under operational control, such as installation dates, system uptime, maintenance response, data availability and reporting. Ecological indicators can be included as shared project objectives or as a limited performance-linked component after a credible baseline has been established. Independent environmental expertise will strengthen technology selection and the defensibility of results.

9. Coaching conclusion

The concept has a credible commercial logic if it is positioned as a managed restoration service rather than equipment finance alone. The recommended first customers are municipalities and lake authorities, supported by contractor partnerships. The preferred route is a paid seasonal pilot that can convert into a multi-year bundled service. Seasonal leasing remains useful for contractor and demonstration demand, while pay-per-performance should be limited until cause-and-effect and controllable indicators are well established.

The immediate next steps are to select one lake problem and one intervention module; identify a cold-climate-qualified technology supplier and an environmental partner; interview priority buyers; calculate the full lifecycle cost; prepare three test packages; and seek a paid pilot or budget-backed letter of intent. A major equipment investment should follow, not precede, evidence of repeatable demand and workable winter operations.

Coaching requested by: Øystein Berg (Norway demosite)
Business coach: Oana Daniela Modrogeanu
Signature: 

Date: 9th of September 2026