ProCleanLakes

Business Coaching report
Commercial development of a modular bioremediation service for polluted natural lakes

1. Selecting the most promising first commercial application

The entrepreneurial concept leverages biological remediation processes with modular nature-based treatment units for polluted lake zones. Depending on the contamination profile, a deployment could integrate selected microorganisms, aquatic plants, biofilm-based systems, bioelectrochemical treatment and controlled ozonation as a complementary polishing step. The scientific breadth is an advantage in the long term, but it should not define the first commercial offer. A new venture will be easier to validate if it starts with one pollution problem, one treatment zone and one paying customer.

The recommended entry point is targeted remediation of localised pollution hotspots rather than whole-lake restoration. Examples include polluted inflow zones, nutrient- and organic-matter accumulation areas, zones affected by agricultural or food-processing runoff, or sites where a known contaminant remains concentrated. A defined hotspot reduces deployment cost, makes treatment effects easier to monitor and provides a clearer basis for a paid pilot.

Table 1. Screening criteria for the first commercial application

Criterion	What to look for	Commercial relevance	Priority
Problem severity	Persistent, visible or regulated pollution problem	Creates a credible reason to allocate remediation budget	Very high
Measurability	Clear baseline and trackable water-quality indicators	Allows proof of outcome and stronger sales evidence	Very high
Operational accessibility	Defined zone suitable for installation and monitoring	Reduces pilot complexity and cost	High
Identifiable payer	Authority, municipality, operator or project owner	Converts environmental need into a real market	Very high
Replication potential	Similar pollution profile exists at other lakes	Supports standardisation and scale after the pilot	High

For initial market development, nutrient and organic-pollution hotspots are particularly attractive because they support a modular combination of microbial degradation, aquatic vegetation and biofilm treatment, while complementary polishing can be added only when the contaminant profile justifies it. The technology portfolio should therefore be presented as a modular toolbox rather than as one fixed product.

Recommended first proposition: Targeted modular bioremediation for lake pollution hotspots, delivered as a monitored remediation service.

2. From research-driven technology to a commercial remediation service

The central entrepreneurial transition is from selling scientific components to selling a defined remediation outcome. Customers are unlikely to purchase microorganisms, biofilms or bioelectrochemical processes in isolation. They are more likely to pay for a service that diagnoses a polluted zone, configures an appropriate biological treatment, deploys it safely, monitors performance and documents environmental improvement.

The strongest early-stage model is therefore service-led. The venture can retain proprietary expertise in diagnosis, treatment design, biological process integration and optimisation, while sourcing selected hardware or specialist components from partners.

Table 2. Proposed Remediation-as-a-Service architecture

Service stage	Core activity	Customer value	Potential revenue
1. Environmental diagnosis	Characterise pollutant profile, hydrology, ecological sensitivity and treatment constraints	Reduces uncertainty and prevents inappropriate intervention	Assessment fee
2. Treatment configuration	Select and combine biological, nature-based and complementary treatment modules	Provides a site-specific remediation design	Design / engineering fee
3. Deployment	Install treatment units in the selected lake zone	Moves from analysis to measurable intervention	Mobilisation / pilot fee
4. Monitoring & optimisation	Track performance and adjust treatment where needed	Creates transparency and improves treatment reliability	Monthly / seasonal service fee
5. Impact reporting	Document results, lessons and next-step recommendations	Supports accountability, funding and procurement decisions	Reporting / consultancy fee

A recurring Remediation-as-a-Service model is preferable to a pure equipment-sale model at the beginning. The customer will pay for deployment and operation over a defined period without acquiring specialised treatment assets or building internal biological-remediation capability. Recurring contracts also create better revenue visibility for the venture.

Table 3. Business-model options to test

Model	How it works	Advantage	Coaching assessment
Fixed-price pilot	One defined treatment area, period and monitoring package	Simple to explain and procure	Best first offer
Seasonal service	Units deployed and operated for a season	Recurring revenue and repeat learning	Strong second step
Multi-year service	Longer deployment with monitoring and optimisation	Predictable revenue; deeper customer relationship	Use after technical validation
Performance-linked element	Part of fee linked to agreed measurable outcomes	Strong alignment with customer value	Introduce cautiously after evidence base grows

Over time, the venture's strongest intellectual asset could become the decision logic linking contamination profiles and environmental conditions to recommended treatment combinations and expected performance. This can evolve into a proprietary protocol or digital decision-support layer, creating differentiation beyond the individual biological technologies themselves.

3. Customer discovery and market-entry strategy

Market entry should focus on customer groups for which environmental responsibility, budget authority and urgency are aligned. The venture should not initially present itself as a complete lake-restoration contractor. A more credible position is as a specialist partner for biological and nature-based remediation of polluted lake zones.

Table 4. Priority customer segments

Segment	Primary need	Likely role	Entry potential	Priority
Lake / water-management authorities	Improve ecological status and address persistent pollution	Buyer / pilot owner	High relevance; formal procurement may be slow	Very high
Municipalities / regional authorities	Resolve local water-quality problems affecting communities and recreation	Buyer / co-funder	Good for visible demonstration projects	High
Industrial / agri-food operators	Mitigate pollution impacts and meet environmental obligations	Private buyer / co-funder	Potentially faster purchasing decisions	High

Environmental engineering firms	Add specialist bioremediation capacity to larger projects	Channel partner	Reduces customer-acquisition and procurement barriers	Very high
EU / restoration projects	Demonstrate innovative restoration approaches	Pilot sponsor / reference partner	Strong for validation; should lead toward commercial sales	High

The recommended first commercial route is a pilot-first strategy combined with partnership-based entry. Public authorities are attractive because they own or manage the problem, but their procurement cycles could be lengthy. Environmental engineering firms and restoration consortia can therefore become valuable channels, allowing the venture to contribute its specialist treatment competence to larger contracts.

Table 5. Market-entry sequence

Stage	Action	Evidence to collect	Decision gate
1. Customer discovery	Interview 15-20 lake managers, authorities, consultants and operators	Problem urgency, current alternatives, procurement logic, indicative budgets	Is there a problem customers actively fund?
2. Demonstration pilot	Treat one well-characterised hotspot with a cooperative stakeholder	Baseline, treatment performance, operating effort, customer feedback	Can the solution work reliably in a relevant environment?
3. Reference case	Convert the pilot into a documented commercial case	Before/after indicators, cost, implementation lessons, testimonial	Is the evidence strong enough to support sales?
4. Replication	Approach lakes with comparable pollution profiles	Conversion rate, sales cycle, adaptation needs	Can the offer be standardised?

Customer-discovery interviews should test behaviour rather than general interest. Recommended questions include:

- Which types of lake pollution are currently the most difficult or expensive to manage?
- Which remediation approaches are used today, and where do they underperform?
- Which environmental indicators define a successful intervention?
- Who approves a pilot, and from which budget would it be financed?
- What evidence is required before a new biological treatment can be tested?
- What would be a realistic budget for a monitored pilot in a defined lake zone?

4. Business-model validation and roadmap from pilot to scalable venture

The venture should validate three assumptions in parallel: technical feasibility, customer desirability and economic viability. A scientifically effective treatment is not automatically a viable business; equally, customer interest is insufficient if deployment costs cannot support a sustainable margin. The first minimum viable commercial offer should therefore be deliberately simple: pollution assessment, treatment configuration, limited deployment, monitoring and a final performance report.

Table 6. Validation roadmap

Phase	Main objective	Commercial test	Key metric	Expected output
1. Problem-solution fit	Select one pollution problem and buyer	15-20 customer interviews	Confirmed pain + identifiable budget owner	Focused first use case
2. Relevant-environment test	Demonstrate treatment performance	Small modular field deployment	Target indicator improves within acceptable cost/time	Technical evidence
3. Paid pilot	Test willingness to pay	Secure customer contribution	At least one paid or co-paid deployment	Commercial validation
4. Standardisation	Make delivery repeatable	Define protocols and packages	Lower setup time and clearer pricing	Repeatable service
5. Replication	Sell to similar sites	Partner/channel outreach	Shorter sales cycle; repeat contracts	Reference-driven growth
6. Scale	Expand modules and geography	Portfolio and data-based optimisation	Recurring revenue + improving gross margin	Scalable venture

Three pricing mechanisms should be tested: a fixed-price pilot, a monthly or seasonal service contract, and, only after enough evidence exists, a performance-linked component. Willingness to pay should be tested through realistic purchasing scenarios rather than by asking whether potential customers simply like the concept.

Table 7. Suggested pilot dashboard

Validation dimension	Illustrative indicators	Why it matters
Environmental performance	Change in target pollutant; dissolved oxygen; nutrients/COD/BOD where relevant; treatment stability	Proves ecological value
Operational performance	Installation time; maintenance hours; treatment uptime; consumables / energy needs	Determines service cost and repeatability
Customer value	Satisfaction; decision usefulness; reporting quality; willingness to continue	Tests desirability
Commercial performance	Pilot price; gross margin; sales-cycle duration; repeat-purchase intent	Tests business viability
Scalability	Adaptation required for next site; reusable modules; partner dependency	Shows whether growth can be efficient

The long-term competitive advantage should not be based only on access to individual technologies. Microorganisms, plants, biofilms, ozonation equipment and other components can often be sourced independently. The stronger differentiation is the venture's integration capability: diagnosing the lake zone, choosing an appropriate combination of treatments, deploying modular systems and continuously optimising them using monitoring evidence.

In a later scaling phase, data retrieved from multiple interventions could support a digital decision-support layer that relates pollutant type, concentration, temperature, hydrology and ecological conditions to treatment configuration and expected performance.

Coaching requested by: Usharani Rathinam Krishnaswamy (Norway demo-site)
Business coach: Alexandru Capatina
Signature:



Date: 9th of September 2026