ProCleanLakes

BUSINESS COACHING REPORT
INNOVATION DIFFUSION STRATEGY FOR AN AI-ENABLED BRATES LAKE RESTORATION SOLUTION

1. Coaching context

The proposed proof of concept combines AI-supported environmental data analysis, digital dashboards, predictive insights, and communication tools to help stakeholders understand ecological degradation, restoration priorities, and progress at Brates Lake. The participant has a relevant background in Artificial Intelligence and Digital Marketing. The commercial challenge is to transform the technical concept into a solution that institutions can understand, test, trust, and adopt.

The coaching assignment therefore focuses on market entry and customer adoption. Its central recommendation is to begin with one clearly defined institutional use case, validate it with a small number of committed organisations, and expand only after the pilot has produced credible evidence and a repeatable commercial offer.

2. Coaching objectives

- ✓ Define the first commercially relevant use case and minimum offer.
- ✓ Identify organisations capable of acting as innovators and early adopters.
- ✓ Design a pilot that tests operational value, institutional commitment, and willingness to continue.
- ✓ Establish a practical route from early adopters to the early majority.
- ✓ Create a digital marketing, revenue, and 90-day implementation roadmap.

3. Commercial focus and first product hypothesis

The initial market should not include every organisation interested in lake restoration. It should consist of organisations that share a recurring task, such as consolidating fragmented environmental information, identifying priority pressures, monitoring interventions, preparing reports, or explaining restoration progress to decision makers and communities.

The recommended first product is a decision-support dashboard for one bounded use case rather than a complete AI platform. The minimum offer could combine selected baseline indicators, a transparent prioritisation view, and a periodic progress report. Predictive functions should be added only where sufficient data, scientific review, and a validated user need exist. AI should support interpretation; it should not be presented as a substitute for ecological expertise or statutory assessment.

The value proposition should be expressed in customer outcomes: faster interpretation of fragmented data, clearer prioritisation, more consistent reporting, and more understandable communication with non-technical stakeholders.

4. Innovation diffusion pathway

Adoption stage	Relevant profile	Evidence required	Recommended approach
Innovators	Teams willing to test an incomplete proof of concept	Relevant problem, sample data, active technical participation	Co-design workshop and prototype access
Early adopters	Problem owners able to sponsor a visible institutional pilot	Defined use case, internal coordinator, expert review, continuation route	Fixed-scope pilot and management review
Early majority	Pragmatic institutions seeking proven and predictable solutions	Comparable case study, standard package, support, clear price and procurement route	Peer demonstration and procurement-ready proposal
Later market	Risk-averse organisations that follow established practice	Multiple references, low implementation burden, contractual assurance	Partner channels and standard onboarding

These categories describe behaviour in relation to a specific solution. An organisation is innovative in public communication but cautious about predictive analytics. Classification should therefore be based on the proposed use case and actual commitment, not on reputation or institutional size.

5. Identifying the first customers and pilot partners

Priority should be given to organisations connected to Brates Lake that combine a concrete operational need with the ability to support validation. Relevant profiles include public authorities, lake and water-management bodies, environmental institutions, universities, research teams, environmental consultancies, NGOs, funded project consortia, and organisations in sectors affected by lake conditions.

Some participants become paying customers, while others contribute data, scientific review, stakeholder access, or implementation support. These roles must be distinguished at the beginning of each discussion.

Selection criterion	Evidence to examine
Relevant problem	A current monitoring, planning, restoration, reporting, or communication task that the solution could improve
Strategic fit	Connection with an existing responsibility, environmental objective, funded activity, or decision process
Data access	Ability to provide, facilitate, or interpret relevant and lawfully usable environmental data
Internal ownership	A named coordinator and access to technical users, managers, and budget or procurement roles
Testing capacity	Willingness to use an early proof of concept and provide structured feedback
Concrete commitment	Contribution of data, expertise, staff time, co-financing, or a pilot budget

Reference potential	Ability to provide credible evidence for comparable organisations if the pilot succeeds

The recommended process begins with five to eight discovery interviews. Each interview should clarify the organisation's current workflow, users, data, decision owner, budget pathway, implementation constraints, and conditions for continued use. The strongest candidates should then be invited to a data-readiness workshop and a pilot-design meeting. General enthusiasm is not sufficient; stronger signals include sharing sample data, appointing a coordinator, involving decision makers, discussing a budget, or requesting a formal proposal.

6. Pilot offer

The pilot should test one organisational workflow over approximately 10 to 12 weeks. It should include a discovery and data audit, configuration of the minimum dashboard, one agreed analytical or prioritisation function, user testing, a management presentation, and a final evidence report.

Pilot stage	Main activities	Required output
Weeks 1–2	Confirm the use case, users, data, baseline, risks, and success criteria	Approved pilot brief and data plan
Weeks 3–5	Prepare data, configure the dashboard, and document analytical assumptions	Usable prototype reviewed by relevant experts
Weeks 6–8	Conduct task-based testing with technical and managerial users	Usage evidence, feedback, and prioritised revisions
Weeks 9–10	Use the output in one real meeting, report, or prioritisation exercise	Documented operational application
Weeks 11–12	Present results and submit a continuation proposal	Decision on renewal, extension, or revision

The pilot agreement should define data ownership, permitted use, scientific review, intended users, deliverables, security responsibilities, intellectual property, success criteria, and the commercial route after completion. A free pilot should be accepted only when the partner provides equivalent strategic value through data, expert time, reference permission, and a defined conversion decision.

7. Transition to the early majority

The early majority requires proof that the solution works in a comparable organisation, is introduced without excessive disruption, and has a predictable cost and support model. Moving beyond the first pilots therefore requires productization rather than additional custom development.

- Convert the validated pilot into a standard core package with separately priced optional modules.
- Prepare a concise case study covering the problem, baseline, workflow, results, limitations, and customer perspective.

- Create a data-readiness checklist, implementation guide, security note, model documentation, and standard agreement.
- Offer a low-risk first step through a paid diagnostic followed by a fixed-scope pilot.
- Use reference-led demonstrations and peer introductions to reach comparable organisations.

Geographic expansion to other degraded lakes should begin only after the same core use case has been validated in more than one institutional setting and the implementation process can be repeated without extensive redesign.

8. Digital marketing and customer adoption roadmap

Stage	Audience	Content and channel	Conversion goal
Problem discovery	Technical staff, lake stakeholders, project teams	Interviews, expert roundtable, targeted LinkedIn outreach, problem brief	Qualified discovery meeting
Pilot recruitment	Innovators and internal champions	Personalised demo, data-readiness workshop, pilot concept note	Data commitment and pilot sponsor
Proof creation	Pilot users and decision makers	Usage reviews, evidence log, management dashboard, results presentation	Continuation and reference approval
Early-majority entry	Comparable authorities and project consortia	Case study, peer webinar, email sequence, conference demo, partner referrals	Paid diagnostic or proposal request
Replication	Organisations responsible for other degraded lakes	Replicable package, benchmark examples, partner toolkit, multi-lake narrative	Second-market pilots and recurring contracts

Marketing automation should support, not replace, account-based engagement. For institutional buyers, the most valuable signals are not impressions, or follower counts but meetings with relevant roles, data-sharing discussions, completed diagnostics, pilot proposals, budget pathways, and renewals. Messaging should vary by role: technical users need traceability and data quality; managers need decisions and risk control; communicators need accessible evidence; procurement teams need scope and predictability.

9. Revenue model

The recommended commercial structure has three levels. A paid data-readiness and use-case assessment reduces uncertainty before development. A fixed-scope pilot fee covers configuration, data preparation, testing, training, and the final evidence report. An annual subscription or managed-service agreement then covers dashboard access, support, updates, reporting, and agreed analytical functions.

Custom integrations, new predictive models, field-data collection, and specialist ecological analysis should be priced separately. Public and project-based customers require transparent deliverables, user numbers, update frequency, data responsibilities, hosting and security assumptions, support arrangements, and export or exit provisions.

10. Validation indicators and decision gates

Dimension	Evidence	Initial decision threshold
Problem validation	The same recurring need appears in discovery interviews	At least 4 of 6 relevant organisations
Partner commitment	Coordinator, usable data, and decision-maker access are confirmed	At least 2 qualified candidates
Pilot conversion	An organisation accepts a paid or co-financed pilot	At least 1 pilot
Active use	Nominated users complete the agreed task and return to the dashboard	At least 70 percent of core users
Decision value	The output is used in a real meeting, report, or prioritisation activity	At least 1 documented application per pilot
Commercial continuation	The customer approves renewal, extension, or a budgeted next phase	At least 1 pilot customer
Diffusion signal	New institutions arrive through peer or reference channels	At least 3 qualified leads and 2 demonstrations

- Continue toward the early majority when the core use case works in more than one institution, implementation is repeatable, and at least one customer provides both a reference and a continuation commitment.
- Narrow the use case, change the buyer, or adjust data requirements when engagement exists but no budget owner or recurring workflow emerges.
- Avoid further feature investment when repeated discovery work reveals no urgent problem, no internal owner, or no lawful and usable data pathway.

11. Risks and safeguards

The main technical risks are weak or biased data, opaque AI outputs, exaggerated predictions, unclear data rights, and cybersecurity concerns. Each analytical result should display its source, date, method, uncertainty, and review status. Relevant experts should validate environmental interpretations, and the product should distinguish observed data, modelled estimates, scenarios, and recommendations.

Commercial adoption can also fail when the project depends on one champion, cannot fit procurement requirements, or requires excessive customisation. Each pilot should involve more than one organisational contact, define decision ownership, provide exportable results, and address accessibility, training, support, and compliance before expansion.

12. Recommended 90-day action plan

- Days 1 to 15: select one use case, customer profile, workflow, value hypothesis, and minimum data set.
- Days 16 to 35: conduct six structured interviews and assess candidates against the selection criteria in Section 5.
- Days 36 to 50: invite the strongest candidates to data-readiness workshops and prepare one fixed-scope pilot proposal.

- Days 51 to 75: configure and test the minimum dashboard with the lead partner; record use, evidence, and objections.
- Days 76 to 90: present results, request a continuation decision, prepare the case-study evidence, and seek introductions to comparable organisations.

13. Conclusion

The proposed solution has a credible route to market if adoption is treated as a sequence of institutional commitments rather than as a broad awareness campaign. The immediate priority is to validate one decision-support use case with organisations that have a real problem, suitable data, internal ownership, and the authority to test.

Further investment and expansion should follow demonstrated use, a continuation decision, a repeatable implementation process, and credible peer evidence. This approach reduces development risk while creating the operational and commercial proof required for adoption around Brates Lake and, subsequently, at other degraded lakes.

Coaching requested by: Marius Geru (Romanian demosite)
Business coach: Oana Daniela Modrogeanu
Signature:

Date: 17th of September 2026